Brief original article

Secondhand exposure to aerosol from electronic cigarettes: pilot study of assessment of tobacco-specific nitrosamine (NNAL) in urine
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Abstract

Objective: To assess the levels of a tobacco-specific nitrosamine (NNAL) in non-smokers passively exposed to the second-hand aerosol (SHA) emitted from users of electronic cigarettes (e-cigarettes).

Method: We conducted an observational study involving 55 non-smoking volunteers divided into three groups: 25 living at home with conventional smokers, 6 living with e-cigarette users, and 24 in control homes (smoke-free homes). We obtained urine samples from all volunteers to determine NNAL.

Results: We detected NNAL in the urine of volunteers exposed to e-cigarettes (median: 0.55 pg/mL; interquartile range: 0.26-2.94 pg/mL). The percentage of urine samples with quantifiable NNAL differed significantly among the three groups of homes: 29.2%, 66.7% and 76.0%, respectively (p = 0.004).

Conclusions: We found NNAL nitrosamine in urine samples from people exposed to SHA from e-cigarettes. However, these results could be confirmed with more studies with larger sample sizes.

© 2018 SESPAS. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Exposición pasiva al aerosol del cigarrillo electrónico: estudio piloto de evaluación de la nitrosamina específica del tabaco (NNAL) en orina

Resumen

Objetivo: Evaluar los niveles de nitrosamina específica del tabaco (NNAL) en no fumadores expuestos pasivamente al aerosol emitido por usuarios de cigarrillo electrónico.

Método: Estudio observacional de una muestra de 55 voluntarios no fumadores divididos en tres grupos: 25 que vivían en una casa con un fumador de tabaco convencional, 6 que vivían en una casa con un usuario de cigarrillo electrónico y 24 que vivían en casas controles (hogares libres de humo). Se obtuvo una muestra de orina de todos los voluntarios para determinar las concentraciones de NNAL.

Resultados: Se detectaron valores de NNAL en los voluntarios expuestos al cigarrillo electrónico (mediana: 0.55 pg/mL; rango intercuartilico: 0.26-2.94 pg/mL). El porcentaje de voluntarios con concentraciones cuantificables de NNAL fue estadísticamente diferente entre los tres grupos de casas: 29.2%, 66.7% y 76%, respectivamente (p = 0.004).

Conclusiones: Se encontraron valores de NNAL en los no fumadores expuestos pasivamente al aerosol del cigarrillo electrónico. Estos resultados tienen que confirmarse con muestras más grandes.

© 2018 SESPAS. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

As electronic cigarettes (e-cigarette) use has been grown, more concerns have appeared about the exposure of bystanders to secondhand aerosol (SHA) from these devices. This exposure results
when the aerosol inhaled by users (firsthand aerosol) is exhaled into the air where it may be breathed by non-users. This exposure is worrying because several studies have found toxic and carcinogenic substances in the aerosol (both firsthand and secondhand) generated by e-cigarettes.

Tobacco-specific nitrosamines have been found in the aerosol generated by e-cigarettes and also in some brands of e-cigarette liquids, although not all of the studies have detected tobacco-specific nitrosamines in the samples studied, finding that indicates that important differences between brands may exist. Despite the potential presence of toxic substances in these aerosols, exposure to SHA from e-cigarettes has received scant attention according to a recent systematic review.

For these reasons, we conducted the present study to assess the levels of NNAL, nitrosamine associated with lung cancer, in urine samples of a group of non-smokers exposed to SHA from e-cigarette users in their homes under real-life conditions. Moreover, we compared these concentrations with those of non-smokers passive exposed to conventional cigarettes in their homes and with those of non-smokers not exposed to aerosol from e-cigarettes neither conventional cigarettes in their homes.

Method

We conducted a study of passive exposure to electronic and conventional cigarettes in real-use conditions. A tobacco-specific nitrosamine (NNAL) was determined in urine samples from a group of volunteers. We recruited a convenience sample of 55 non-smoking volunteers from different homes, distributed as follows: 25 living at home with conventional smokers, 6 living with e-cigarette users, and 24 from control homes (without the presence of either conventional smokers or e-cigarette users). We also enrolled the 6 e-cigarette users who lived with the non-smoking volunteers. Participants living with e-cigarette users or smokers, and the e-cigarette users, provided self-reported data affirming that their only source of exposure to SHA from e-cigarette or tobacco smoke during the one-week study period was in their home and also confirmed that they did not use any tobacco products. These were the conditions for study inclusion that volunteers (non-smokers and e-cigarette users) agreed to at study enrolment. The self-reported lack of exposure in settings other than their homes (work, leisure time, and transport) was confirmed by a personal interview. In addition, all volunteers, including the 6 e-cigarette users, declared that they did not use other tobacco products or nicotine replacement therapy during the study period. This pilot study is part of a large project which the main objective is to assess the impact of Spanish legislations and the passive exposure to second-hand smoke of conventional cigarettes at home. We conducted this pilot study due to the increasing popularity of the e-cigarettes and the lack of evidence worldwide about passive exposure to SHA from e-cigarettes. The fieldwork was conducted in Barcelona, Spain, in 2012.

The characteristics of the e-liquids and e-cigarettes used in this study are described in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E-liquid characteristics</th>
<th>Nicotine concentration (mg)</th>
<th>E-cigarettes characteristics</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Brand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brand</td>
<td>Flavour</td>
<td>Nicotine consumption per day</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Brand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totally Wicked</td>
<td>Menthol</td>
<td>62.7 mg</td>
<td>Cigalike</td>
<td>KangerTech KR808D-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totally Wicked</td>
<td>Marlboro</td>
<td>48 mg</td>
<td>e-Go</td>
<td>E-go C Totally Wicked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puff</td>
<td>Menthol</td>
<td>180 mg</td>
<td>e-Go</td>
<td>Puff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puff</td>
<td>Keen Tobacco</td>
<td>27 mg</td>
<td>e-Go-T Upgrade Joyetech</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free Life</td>
<td>Mint</td>
<td>19.2 mg</td>
<td>e-Go</td>
<td>e-Go-T Joyetech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Mint</td>
<td>12.85 mg</td>
<td>e-Go</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* As stated by the e-liquid manufacturer.
* As reported by the e-cigarettes users.

Results

Statistically significant differences were found among the three groups of homes in the percentage of volunteers with quantifiable levels of NNAL in urine: 29.2%, 66.7%, and 76% (Table 2). After adjusting for multiple comparisons, differences in NNAL urine concentration were on the borderline of statistical significance between non-smokers in control homes and those exposed to tobacco smoke at home (Table 2).

As shown in Table 3, we quantified traces of NNAL in the urine samples of four out of the six volunteers exposed to SHA from e-cigarette users. Spearman’s correlation of the NNAL levels in urine of the e-cigarettes users and the volunteers exposed to SHA from e-cigarette users was 0.943 (p = 0.005).

From six e-cigarette users, NNAL was quantifiable in the urine sample (median: 2.86 pg/mg; IQR: 0.36–6.92 pg/mL) (data not shown).

Discussion

Our results show that there are quantifiable levels of NNAL in urine among bystanders exposed to SHA from e-cigarette users at home. Moreover, we also found a strong correlation between NNAL levels in urine among e-cigarette users and those of the non-smokers exposed to SHA at home from e-cigarette users. A previous study conducted in 698 individuals (532 of them non-smokers)
Table 2  
Percentages of samples with detectable levels of NNAL and median (pg/mL) and interquartile range of NNAL in urine samples of the non-smokers volunteers according to passive exposure at home (non-exposed or control homes, exposed to secondhand aerosol from e-cigarettes users, and exposed to tobacco smoke).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>NNAL in urine samples†</th>
<th>Median (IQR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of samples with detectable NNAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non exposed (control homes)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29.2%</td>
<td>0.33 (0.16 - 0.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposed to e-cigarettes’ aerosol</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>0.55 (0.26 - 2.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposed to conventional cigarettes</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>76.0%</td>
<td>0.46 (0.29 - 1.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value†</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value‡</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value§</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value∥</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.865</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IQR: interquartile range.  
† Adjusted for urinary creatinine.  
‡ Pearson’s Chi square.  
§ Comparison among volunteers from the three types of homes (non-exposed or smoke-free homes, exposed to e-cigarettes’ aerosol, and conventional cigarettes) by Kruskal Wallis test.  
∥ Comparison between volunteers from non-smokers homes (control homes) and e-cigarettes homes by Wilcoxon test for independent samples.  
§ Comparison between volunteers from non-smokers homes (control homes) and conventional cigarettes homes by Wilcoxon test for independent samples.

Table 3  
Levels of NNAL in the samples of urine of the e-cigarettes user and non-users exposed to secondhand aerosol from e-cigarettes users.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urine samples (pg/mL)†</th>
<th>E-cigarette users</th>
<th>Exposed to secondhand aerosol from e-cigarette users</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Home 1</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>&lt;0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home 2</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>&lt;0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home 3</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home 4</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home 5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home 6</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¶ Adjusted for urinary creatinine.  
The limits of quantification were 0.25 pg/mL for NNAL in 5 mL of urine for a 1 mg/mL of creatinine excretion.

Also found levels of NNAL in saliva among non-smokers exposed to secondhand smoke.  

Vogel et al. found that the levels of NNAL in urine (without adjusting for creatinine) ranged from 6.27 to 12.54 pg/mL, among non-smokers exposed to secondhand smoke from conventional cigarettes. In our study, the levels were lower in participants who were passively exposed to e-cigarettes (median: 0.47 pg/mL; range: 0.125-3.2 pg/mL) and to conventional cigarettes (median: 0.52 pg/mL; range: 0.125-8.3 pg/mL). These differences could be explained partially by the differences on the sample size of both studies and the inclusion criteria of our study (at home was the only source of exposure of our non-smokers volunteers and e-cigarette users in the last week). Moreover, other potential explanation could be the difference in the duration and intensity of exposure among studies, and the measurement bias inter-laboratory. Although the levels of NNAL among non-smokers exposed passively to e-cigarettes are very low, there is no safety level of exposure and the risk increases with the intensity and duration of exposure.

What is known about the topic?

Interest, popularity and awareness of electronic cigarettes (e-cigarettes) have substantially increased in recent years. As e-cigarette use has grown, more concerns have appeared about the exposure of bystanders to secondhand aerosol from these devices. This exposure results when the aerosol inhaled by users (firsthand aerosol) is exhaled into the air where it may be breathed by non-users.

What does this study add to the literature?

Our results show that there are quantifiable levels of NNAL in urine among bystanders exposed to secondhand aerosol from e-cigarette users in the home. We also found a very strong correlation between carcinogenic NNAL levels in urine among e-cigarette users and those of the non-smokers exposed to secondhand aerosol at home from e-cigarette users.
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