

## Original article

# Medical costs of cancer attributable to work in the Basque Country (Spain) in 2008

Montserrat García Gómez<sup>a,\*</sup>, Rosario Castañeda López<sup>a</sup>, Rosa Urbanos Garrido<sup>b</sup>, Patricia López Menduina<sup>a</sup>, Steven Markowitz<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Ministry of Health, Social Services and Equality, Madrid, Spain

<sup>b</sup> Complutense University of Madrid, Madrid, Spain

<sup>c</sup> City University of New York, New York, USA

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 24 October 2012

Accepted 7 January 2013

Available online 27 February 2013

### Keywords:

Occupational cancer  
Attributable risk  
Cost of illness  
Medical costs  
Direct costs  
Occupational diseases

## ABSTRACT

**Objetives:** Underreporting of work-related cancer in the Basque Country (Spain) is massive. The aim of our study is to estimate the job-related cancer in the Basque Country in 2008 treated by the Basque Public Health System-Osakidetza, as well as the medical costs derived from its treatment in the same year.

**Methods:** Scientific evidence from industrialised countries is used to estimate the number of processes of cancer attributable to work. Medical costs for specialised care (outpatient and hospital admissions) are derived from the National Health System cost accounts. Costs due to primary health care and pharmaceutical benefits are obtained from Spanish secondary sources. Figures were computed according to disease and sex.

**Results:** We estimate 1,331 work-attributable cancer hospitalizations and 229 work-attributable cancer specialized ambulatory cases. Medical costs borne by public health care system exceed 10 million euros. Specialized care accounts for 64.2% of the total cost. Bronchus and lung cancer represents the largest percentage of total expenditure (27%), followed by the bladder cancer (12.6%), mesothelioma (8.6%), the colon cancer (7.3%), and stomach (6.7%).

**Conclusions:** The magnitude of cancer attributable to work in the Basque Country is much higher than reflected in the official Registry of Occupational Diseases. Underreporting of work-related cancers hampers prevention and shifts funding of medical costs from social security to the tax-financed public health system.

© 2012 SESPAS. Published by Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

## Costes sanitarios directos del cáncer atribuible al trabajo en el País Vasco en 2008

### RESUMEN

**Objetivo:** La falta de reconocimiento del cáncer como enfermedad profesional en el País Vasco es enorme. Nuestro objetivo es estimar el número de procesos atendidos por cáncer atribuibles al trabajo en el País Vasco en 2008, así como los gastos médicos derivados de su atención en el Servicio Público Vasco de Salud-Osakidetza ese mismo año.

**Métodos:** El número de procesos se estimó aplicando las fracciones atribuibles al trabajo obtenidas en la literatura científica. Para el cálculo de los costes se utilizaron datos primarios de contabilidad analítica del Sistema Nacional de Salud relativos a la atención especializada (ambulatoria e ingresos hospitalarios), y fuentes secundarias españolas para calcular el coste de la atención primaria de salud y la atención farmacéutica. Los cálculos se realizaron por enfermedad y sexo.

**Resultados:** En Osakidetza, en 2008, hubo 1331 hospitalizaciones y 229 consultas a atención ambulatoria especializada debidas a cánceres atribuibles al trabajo. El tratamiento de estos procesos supuso más de 10 millones de euros, de los cuales el 64,2% corresponden a la atención especializada. Por tipo de cáncer, el de bronquio y pulmón, fundamentalmente en hombres, es el que implica mayor gasto sanitario (27%), seguido del de vejiga (12,6%), el mesotelioma (8,6%), el de colon (7,3%) y el de estómago (6,7%).

**Conclusiones:** La magnitud del cáncer derivado del trabajo en el País Vasco es muy superior a la que refleja el registro de enfermedades profesionales, lo que obstaculiza su prevención y desplaza el correspondiente gasto sanitario del sistema de seguridad social al sistema público de salud.

© 2012 SESPAS. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L. Todos los derechos reservados.

### Palabras clave:

Cáncer laboral  
Riesgo atribuible  
Coste de la enfermedad  
Costes sanitarios  
Costes directos  
Enfermedades profesionales

## Introduction

The reporting of occupational diseases in the Basque Country (Spain) is two to six-fold higher than in other regions of Spain and Europe.<sup>1</sup> Under-reporting of occupational cancer, however,

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: mgarciag@mspas.es (M. García Gómez).

**Table 1**

Matrix of type of cancer, ICD-9 codes, and attributable fractions due to work according to published studies.

ICD-9	Type of cancer	Doll and Peto, USA 1981		Dreyer et al., Nordic Co 1997		Kogevinas et al., EU 1998		Nurminen and Karjalainen, Finland 2001		Steenland et al., USA 2003	
		M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
141-149, 230.0	Oral cavity and pharynx	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	0.5	-	-
160	Nose and nasal sinuses	25	5	30	<2	39	11	24	6.7	31-43	-
161	Larynx	2	1	6	<1	8	0	9.3	0.5	1-20	-
162	Bronchus and lung	15	5	18	<1	13	3	29	5	8-19.2	2
150, 230.1	Esophagus	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	6.4	0.2	-	-
151, 230.1	Stomach	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	10	5	-	-
153, 230.3	Colon	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	5.6	0	-	-
154	Rectum	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	3.1	0.1	-	-
155	Liver and intrahepatic bile ducts	4	1	-	-	-	-	3.5	5.3	0.4-1.1	-
156.0	Gallbladder	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.2	0.4	-	-
157	Pancreas	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	13.4	4	-	-
170	Bone	4	1	-	-	-	-	0.6	0.6	-	-
172	Skin melanoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.3	0.4	-	-
171,173	Skin non-melanoma	10	2	-	-	-	-	13.1	3.8	1.2-6	-
158, 163	Mesothelioma	25	5	83	<1	-	-	90	25	85-90	23-90
174	Female breast	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.7	-	-
180	Cervix uteri	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.9	-	-
179,182	Corpus uteri (endometrium)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.1	-	-
183.0	Ovary	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.1	-	-
185	Prostate	1	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
189.0, 189.1	Kidney(renal cell carcinoma)	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	4.7	8.8	0-2.3	-
188	Urinary bladder	10	5	2	<1	4	0	14	0.7	7-19	3-19
191	Brain	1	0.5	2	<1	-	-	10.6	1.3	-	-
201	Hodgkin's disease	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	3.9	0	-	-
204-208	Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma	1	0.5	-	-	-	-	13.5	3.1	-	-
158, 163	Leukemia	10	5	1	<1	-	-	18.5	2.5	0.8-2.8	-

M: male; F: female.

is very high. Only 25 work-related cancers were compensated from 1995 to 2008. Among them, 15 have been reported in the last two years: 7 mesothelioma, 4 skin non-melanoma, 2 lymphomas, 1 bronchus and lung and 1 nasal sinuses or nasopharyngeal cancer.<sup>1</sup> The tumors most commonly reported in the literature as work-related (mostly on industrial workers) are those such as lung, bladder, sinonasal cancer, liver, mesothelioma, leukemia, lymphoma, and non-melanoma skin cancers.<sup>2-12</sup>

There are many epidemiological studies that evaluate the Spanish population in relation to occupational cancer, and several reviews on occupational cancer in Spain have also been published,<sup>13</sup> but it is difficult to obtain a comprehensive estimate of work-related cancers from these studies. Following the lead of others,<sup>8,13</sup> we primarily use studies performed in other comparably industrialized countries to obtain a global estimate of work-attributable cancer proportions in Spain (Table 1).<sup>4-6,15,16</sup>

Correctly identifying occupational cancer is a crucial task, not only to protect workers' health but also for his pocket. Workers receive lower disability payments if the cancer is deemed non-occupational (60% of his/her base salary for the first three weeks and 75% thereafter versus 100% of his/her base salary beginning on the first day for occupational cancers). In addition, free pharmaceutical treatment is provided if the cancer is reported as occupational.

Identification is the first step to prevention. A lot of these cancers do not appear until retirement. As a result, a large part of their costs are covered by the public health system, financed by general taxes, instead of being supported by social contributions (employers and employees contributions to the social security system). In such conditions, the companies responsible for the occupational exposure that caused the disease do not have to pay for the real costs, which will be covered by taxes.

Malignant neoplasias have a major importance, given that they are numerous, the treatment required is expensive, they cause frequent work absences, both short and long term, and may cause premature death or a significant decline in the quality of life. Moreover, certain segments of the population can be more affected than

others, causing social inequalities. Finally some cancers can be easily prevented.

From the point of view of the sustainability of the social protection systems, the clarification of the assignments of costs can help to improve the efficiency and efficacy of the social security, occupational risk prevention and health systems. Being aware of the cost of medical care that occupational cancer requires is particularly useful for the sustainability of the public health system, as well as for the adequate management of the prevention system. If these diseases were identified as occupational, they could be included in the global Strategies for Safety and Health at the Workplace, and the specific prevention plans in the associated workplaces, and, thereby, millions of euros would be saved from regional and national budgets.

In this context, our aim is to evaluate the burden of cancer attributable to work in the Basque Country in 2008, as well as the health care costs derived from its treatment in the Basque Public Health System-Osakidetza (SVS-O), using data from the analytic accounting of the National Health System (NHS). We have recently published on the direct health care costs associated with the lung and bladder cancer attributable to work in Spain in 2008.<sup>14</sup> We now present the global burden of cancer attributable to work in the Basque Country in 2008.

## Methods

The numbers of occupational cancers in the Basque region in 2008 was derived by applying the estimates of cancer proportions due to occupational exposures, that were obtained from studies performed in other industrialized countries, to the databases with clinic information of various levels of health care of the SVS-O. These estimates do not include associated health costs, but allows their calculation.

Numerous occupational attributable fractions have been developed, including those by Doll and Peto (1981) for the U.S.A. population,<sup>4</sup> Dreyer et al. (1997) for the Nordic countries,<sup>15</sup>

Kogevinas et al. (1998) for Europe,<sup>16</sup> Nurminen and Karjalainen (2001) for Finland,<sup>6</sup> and Steenland et al. (2003) for the U.S.A.<sup>5</sup> (Table 1). Some of the most recent estimates are detailed in United Kingdom,<sup>17</sup> indicating that at present occupational exposures continue to cause 4% of all cancers, the same percentage estimated 30 years ago by Doll and Peto. We use the estimations of Nurminen and Karjalainen's for calculation of numbers of occupational cancers and associated health costs for specific cancer type, due to the quality, rigor and completeness of their approach.

Calculation of costs of the diseases was focused on direct health care costs. For its estimation, both primary and secondary data sources related to Spain were used. We use the prevalence approach to estimate the value of health care available to all patients at a time, regardless of when they were diagnosed. Two types of analysis were performed: first, primary data of cost of specialized care by the NHS (hospital and specialized outpatient care) was used to compute costs corresponding to subjects with work attributed cancer who were treated at Basque public hospitals; and second, secondary data sources were used to estimate costs of both primary health care and pharmaceutical care.<sup>18</sup> The sources of information used were all Spanish.

Access to the statistics data in the Information System of the NHS was made through NHS Interactive Consultation<sup>19</sup> and through the NHS Information Repository<sup>20</sup> upon request and being in possession of an electronic signature certificate.

Databases used were record of hospital discharges (CMBD-H) and record of ambulatory specialized attention (CMBD-AAE), and the variables studied were:

- Year: 2008.
- Hospital location: Basque Country.
- Age: 25 or older.
- Sex: both.
- ICD-9-CM diagnosis: main diagnosis is coded using the ICD-9-MC (International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification). They can be disaggregated up to end of branch (diagnosis coded to 5 digits). This study made consultations with individual diagnosis as search criteria (filter) possible.
- Subjects in the CMBD-H: hospital discharges with hospitalization at Basque public hospitals. Exclusions included subjects without a discharge date or if the discharge date was not in 2008; duplicate records; and those corresponding to subjects who were not hospitalized (i.e. they had the same admission and discharge date except on cases of death, transfer or voluntary discharge).
- Subjects in the CMBD-AAE: subjects treated (sessions, visits, contacts) in specialized ambulatory attention (AAE) (day hospital, outpatients surgery and specialized home care). Exclusions included those whose date of discharge was not in 2008 or it was inexistent, as well as duplicate records.
- Average time of stay: average time of stay in hospital for all subjects.

**Table 2**

Occupational attributable fractions, hospital discharges and specialized ambulatory cases for cancer in the Basque Country, 2008, according to published studies.

Estimations (%) of cancer attributable to occupational exposure	Attributable fractions (%)	Number of hospital discharges	Hospital discharges attributable cases to occupational exposure	Number of specialized ambulatory cases	Ambulatory specialized attention attributable cases to occupational exposure
Doll and Peto, 1981	4	17,743	709	3,058	122
Kraut, 1994	4 to10	17,743	709-1,774	3,058	122-306
Leigh et al., 1997	6 to10	17,743	1,064-1,774	3,058	183-306
Nurminen and Karjalainen, 2001	8.4	17,743	1,490	3,058	257
Steenland et al., 2003	3.6 (2.4-4.8)	17,743	639 (426-852)	3,058	110 (73-147)
WHO, 2011	19	17,743	3,371	3,058	581
Median (range)	7.5 (3.6-19)	17,743	1,331 (639-3,371)	3,058	229 (110-581)

Source: own elaboration by interactive consultation in the National Health System and National Health System Database. Institute of Sanitary Information. Ministry of Health, Social Policy and Equity [access April 2011]. Available from: <http://pestadistico.msc.es> and <http://repositorio.msc.es/risns/>.

- Average cost: average cost estimated from subjects treated at a representative sample of NHS hospitals for the corresponding year.
- Weighted Activity Unit (in Spanish UPA): unit cost of ambulatory specialized attention adapting the "Weighted Activity Unit" (UPA)<sup>21</sup> of the hospital costs per day and disease. It has been estimated as 75% of the cost per day hospital inpatient.<sup>22</sup>
- Weighted Activity Unit in the Basque Country in 2008 (UPA-BC 2008): unit cost for specialized outpatient services in the Basque Country in 2008. Following formula was used to calculate the new variable for the Basque Country:

$$UPA - BC 2008 =$$

$$(cost\ per\ hospital\ inpatient\ SVS - 0\ 2008 / average\ stay) \times 0.75$$

As primary data for the rest of health care costs were not available, we assigned relative weights of primary care and pharmaceutical care costs in relation to costs of specialized care, from data provided by the Government of Catalonia<sup>18</sup> (Spain) where the health care budget for the year 2008 is distributed across the 17 relevant disease categories covered by the ICD-9. Disaggregated data are provided for specialized care (SC), primary (PC) and pharmaceutical care (PhC). At our knowledge, this is the only Spanish available source with such a degree of disaggregation. Public expenditure on SC includes inpatient care and specialized outpatient services, while PhC includes expenditure on prescription drugs and also pharmaceutical expenditure supplied for outpatient care at hospitals. In 2008, SC of cancer costs rises to 488,882 thousand euros, PC, 17,745 thousand euros, and PhC, 255,409 thousand euros. From these figures, we have obtained the following cost factors:

$$Cost\ factor\ for\ PC = PC\ expenditure / SC\ expenditure = 0.036$$

$$Cost\ factor\ for\ PhC = PhC\ expenditure / SC\ expenditure = 0.522$$

These factors were applied afterwards to the specialized care costs for each type of cancer that was calculated with primary sources.

## Results

One in six (17%) of hospital discharges of people aged 25 years or older in the Basque Country in 2008 were due to cancer. Specifically, 17,743 hospitalizations due to cancer occurred, 9,867 in men and 4,657 in women. Table 2 shows the number of cancer hospital discharges and specialized ambulatory cases (AAE) attributable to work in the Basque Country in 2008, according to the published estimates of cancer proportions attributable to occupation. The estimates of hospital entries range from 639 to 3,371 cases, with a median of 1,331 cases. Patients who received outpatient care for cancers numbered 3,058. Among those, between 110 and 581 with a median of 229, are attributable to work.

**Table 3**

Hospital discharges per type of cancer and sex, in the Basque Country in 2008 attributable to occupational exposures according to Nurninen and Karjalainen estimates (2001).

Estimations of cancer attributable to occupational exposure	Attributable fractions (%)		Number of hospital discharges		Hospital discharges attributable cases to occupational exposure		Number of specialized ambulatory cases		Ambulatory specialized attention attributable cases to occupational exposure	
	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
Oral cavity and pharinx	2	0.5	300	89	6	0.45	41	11	1	0.055
Nose and nasal sinuses	24	6.7	20	13	5	1	1	-	0.24	-
Larynx	9.3	0.5	199	10	19	0.05	77	8	7	0.04
Bronchus and lung	29	5	1,013	211	294	10	34	14	10	1
Esophagus	6.4	0.2	173	26	11	0.05	32	5	2	0.01
Stomach	10	5	413	210	43	11	24	12	2	1
Colon	5.6	0	904	494	51	-	127	73	7	-
Rectum	3.1	0.1	502	234	16	0.23	72	35	2	0.035
Liver and intrahepatic bile ducts	3.5	5.3	390	110	14	6	16	3	0.56	0.159
Gallbladder	0.2	0.4	10	34	0.02	0.14	1	3	0.002	0.012
Pancreas	13	4	215	199	29	7	7	9	1	0.315
Bone	0.6	0.6	22	16	0.13	0.1	1	2	0.006	0.012
Skin melanoma	4.3	0.4	43	47	2	0.19	11	14	0.473	0.056
Skin non-melanoma	13.1	3.8	153	109	20	4	81	91	11	3
Mesothelioma	90	25	82	41	74	10	3	6	3	1
Female breast	-	1.7	-	1,073	-	18	-	188	-	3
Cervix uteri	-	5.9	-	77	-	5	-	57	-	3
Corpus uteri (endometrium)	-	1.1	-	330	-	4	-	23	-	0.253
Ovary	-	2.1	-	193	-	4	-	5	-	0.105
Prostate	6	-	644	-	39	-	85	-	5	-
Kidney(renal cell carcinoma)	4.7	8.8	276	113	13	10	4	4	2	0.028
Urinary bladder	14	0.7	1,382	279	196	2	12	4	0.188	0.352
Brain	10.6	1.3	206	147	22	2	3	1	0.318	0.013
Hodgkin's disease	3.9	0	47	11	2	-	10	6	0.39	-
Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma	13.5	3.1	164	158	22	5	38	32	5	1
Leukemia	18.5	2.5	114	110	21	3	22	18	4	0.45

M: male; F: female.

Source: own elaboration from National Health System Database. Institute of Sanitary Information. Ministry of Health, Social Policy and Equity [access April 2011]. Available from: <http://repositorio.msc.es/risns/>.

Table 3 shows the number of hospital discharges by cancer site and sex, and the cases that, among them, are attributable to work, using Nurminen and Karjalainen attributable fraction estimates. The most frequent cancers attributable to work among men are lung and bladder, followed by mesothelioma. Among women, the most frequent cancers attributable to work are breast and stomach cancer, followed by lung, mesothelioma and kidney.

The costs associated with hospital discharges of patients with work-related cancers paid by the Basque Public Health System are shown in Table 4 and total 6,556,120.9 € in 2008. These costs are eight-fold higher in men than in women due to the more frequent occurrence of occupational cancer in men than in women.

The work-related cancers that involve the highest cost to the Basque Public Health System per hospital entry are lung, bladder, mesothelioma, colon, stomach and leukemia. By sex, the cost attributable to male treatment ranges from 79% (stomach cancer) to 99% (bladder cancer), while the lowest cost goes from 13% (gallbladder cancer) to 48% (bone cancer), plus colon and Hodgkin cancers, with all the costs attributed to male treatment.

Although the mean cost per hospitalization is higher for men in almost all the cancers, if we look at the average cost, women show higher values for bone cancer, sinonasal, breast cancer, oral cavity and pharinx, larynx, leukemia, stomach and lung. Also, the average duration of the hospitalization is higher for women than men when suffering from nose and nasal sinuses cancers, stomach, liver and intrahepatic bile ducts, gallbladder, pancreas and leukemia.

The total costs of specialized outpatients care cancer are 37,625.50 €. Costs of AAE care for work-related cancer is fourfold higher in men than in women. Cancers with the overall highest AAE-associated costs are skin cancer (non-melanoma), lung and larynx.

The total specialized care cost of illness is the sum of the costs of hospital admissions, day hospital, day surgery and home care (AAE) costs. Summing these items in Table 4, the total value is

6,593,746 €. When analyzed individually and for both sexes, lung (27%), bladder (12.6%), mesothelioma (8%), colon (8.6%), and stomach (6.7%) are those with the highest hospital-related costs (Table 5).

Table 5 provides the costs of specialized care (hospital discharges and AAE), and the estimates costs of primary health care and pharmaceutical care of work-related cancers, using the cost factors (relative weights) primary care (PC) and pharmaceutical care (PhC) cost factors, as described in the Methods section.

We estimate that the total cost of work-related cancers, in people 25 or older, for the Basque Public Health System in 2008, is 10.26 million euros. It represents 8.54% of total Basque public expenditure on cancer (120.15 million euros) in that year. Hospital-related costs account for two-thirds (64.2%), followed by pharmaceutical costs (33.5%), while primary care plays a marginal role in the neoplasias cost (2.3%) (Table 5). The most costly cancers are cancer of bronchus and lung (27%), mainly in men, followed by bladder (12.6%), mesothelioma (8.6%), colon (7.3%) and stomach (6.7%).

## Discussion

In 2008, 1,331 hospital discharges of people 25 years or older have occurred due to cancer attributable to work in the Basque Public Health System. By contrast, 11 cases of occupational cancers were reported by the social security system in 2008 (5 mesothelioma, 3 non-melanoma skin cancers, 1 lymphoma, 1 lung and 1 sinonasal cancer).<sup>1</sup> These data contrast remarkably with even the most conservative estimates of work-related cancers.

The ineffectiveness of the health care and compensation systems for recognizing occupational diseases, specifically cancer, is well-documented. Studies performed in Spain demonstrate this underreporting. Fernández Ajuria et al. studied occupational mortality in the Basque Country in 1987 and found 8 pleural

**Table 4**  
Costs of specialized ambulatory cases of cancer attributable to occupational exposure in Basque Country in 2008 (euros).

	Attributable hospital discharges (this study)		Average duration of the hospitalization		Cost per episode		Total cost hospital		Attributable specialized ambulatory consultations (this study)		Cost UPA-CAPV 2008 <sup>a</sup>		Total cost Ambulatory Specialized Attention	
	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
Oral cavity and pharinx	6	0.45	10.5	9.5	9,113.30	10,419.40	54,679.90	4,636.60	1	0.055	652.8	822.1	652.8	45.2
Nose and nasal sinuses	5	1	8	16.3	4,410.20	6,426.00	22,051.20	6,426.00	0.24	-	416.1	295.5	99.9	-
Larynx	19	0.05	14.2	12.2	10,843.50	12,618.70	206,026.10	630.9	7	0.04	574.7	775.7	4,023.00	31
Bronchus and lung	294	10	11.2	11.3	5,855.90	5,921.80	1,721,627.20	59,217.90	10	1	392	393.3	3,919.80	393.3
Esophagus	11	0.05	20.4	13.1	7,673.70	5,664.80	84,410.30	294.6	2	0.01	281.7	323.8	563.4	3.2
Stomach	43	11	13.6	15.1	8,115.70	8,422.80	348,974.50	92,650.80	2	1	446.6	419.2	893.1	272.5
Colon	51	-	16	19.3	9,380.60	8,888.30	478,411.80	-	7	-	439.4	345.3	3,076.00	-
Rectum	16	0.23	14.4	13.7	8,712.90	8,491.10	139,407.00	1,986.90	2	0.035	453.3	464.5	906.6	16.3
Liver and intrahepatic bile ducts	14	6	9	10.6	8,857.80	7,577.20	124,009.00	45,463.10	0.56	0.159	736.3	534.7	412.3	85
Gallbladder	0.02	0.14	10	13	8,133.10	8,133.10	162.7	1,106.10	0.002	0.012	556.9	399.7	1.1	4.8
Pancreas	29	7	14.4	15.7	7,371.80	7,149.90	213,781.20	50,049.50	1	0.315	385.2	342.4	385.2	107.8
Bone	0.13	0.1	14.5	14.1	7,195.90	10,421.60	949.9	1,000.50	0.006	0.012	371	553.4	2.2	6.6
Skin melanoma	2	0.19	4	4.2	4,894.80	4,772.70	9,789.60	897.3	0.473	0.056	923.1	845.4	436.6	47.3
Skin non-melanoma	20	4	10	8.9	5,567.30	5,585.90	111,345.90	22,343.60	11	3	416.2	469.8	4,577.80	1,409.50
Mesothelioma	74	10	14.7	13	6,731.20	6,676.60	498,110.20	66,766.10	3	1	344	384	1,031.90	384
Female breast	-	18	-	4.8	-	5,285.20	-	95,134.10	-	3	-	824.1	-	2,472.40
Cervix uteri	-	5	-	12.3	-	5,904.70	-	29,523.30	-	3	-	359.7	-	1,079.10
Corpus uteri (endometrium)	-	4	-	9.6	-	6,594.40	-	26,377.60	-	0.253	-	517.7	-	131
Ovary	-	4	-	10.2	-	6,441.50	-	25,766.20	-	0.105	-	472.6	-	49.6
Prostate	39	-	7.4	-	5,768.20	-	224,960.30	-	5	-	587.4	-	2,937.00	-
Kidney(renal cell carcinoma)	13	10	8.1	7.3	8,266.00	7,344.00	107,458.00	73,439.70	2	0.028	448.4	470.1	896.7	13.2
Urinary bladder	196	2	7	6.6	4,209.70	4,137.90	825,102.80	8,275.70	0.188	0.352	763.3	749.5	143.5	263.8
Brain	22	2	11.6	11.8	8,445.70	8,467.00	185,806.40	16,934.00	0.318	0.013	544.8	537.7	173.3	7
Hodgkin's disease	2	-	13.1	12.2	10,256.00	8,094.50	20,512.10	-	0.39	-	586.9	498.4	228.9	-
Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma	22	5	15.5	13.1	8,856.10	8,315.80	194,835.10	41,579.00	5	1	427.5	477.4	2,137.60	477.4
Leukemia	21	3	14.7	22.7	12,746.70	15,176.50	267,680.70	45,529.50	4	0.45	650.3	500.8	2,601.30	225.4
Total	899	103					5,840,091.8	716,029.00	64	15			30,100.00	7,525.50

Source: own elaboration from National Health System Database. Institute of Sanitary Information. Ministry of Health, Social Policy and Equity [access April 2011]. Available from: <http://repositorio.msc.es/risns/>.

<sup>a</sup> (Cost per hospital inpatient SVS-0 2008/average stay) × 0,75.

**Table 5**  
Total sanitary costs per type of occupational cancer in Basque Country in 2008 (euros).

	Specialized care cost <sup>a</sup>	Primary care cost <sup>b</sup>	Pharmacy cost <sup>c</sup>	Sanitary cost per disease
Oral cavity and pharynx	60,014.52	2,160.52	31,207.55	93,382.60
Nose and nasal sinuses	28,577.13	1,028.78	14,860.11	44,466.01
Larynx	210,711.07	7,585.60	109,569.76	327,866.43
Bronchus and lung	1,785,158.12	64,265.69	928,282.22	2,777,706.04
Esophagus	85,271.51	3,069.77	44,341.19	132,682.48
Stomach	442,790.88	15,940.47	230,251.26	688,982.61
Colon	481,487.80	17,333.56	250,373.66	749,195.01
Rectum	142,316.71	5,123.40	74,004.69	221,444.81
Liver and intrahepatic bile ducts	169,969.37	6,118.90	88,384.07	264,472.34
Gallbladder	1,274.67	45.89	662.83	1,983.39
Pancreas	264,323.70	9,515.65	137,448.33	411,287.68
Bone	1,959.20	70.53	1,018.79	3,048.52
Skin melanoma	11,170.92	402.15	5,808.88	17,381.95
Skin non-melanoma	139,676.75	5,028.36	72,631.91	217,337.02
Mesothelioma	566,292.14	20,386.52	294,471.91	881,150.56
Female breast	97,606.41	3,513.83	50,755.33	151,875.58
Cervix uteri	30,602.38	1,101.69	15,913.24	47,617.30
Corpus uteri (endometrium)	26,508.62	954.31	13,784.48	41,247.41
Ovary	25,815.79	929.37	13,424.21	40,169.38
Prostate	227,897.32	8,204.30	118,506.61	354,608.23
Kidney (renal cell carcinoma)	181,807.57	6,545.07	94,539.94	282,892.58
Urinary bladder	833,785.89	30,016.29	433,568.66	1,297,370.84
Brain	202,920.64	7,305.14	105,518.73	315,744.51
Hodgkin's disease	20,740.97	746.67	10,785.30	32,272.95
Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma	239,029.17	8,605.05	124,295.17	371,929.39
Leukemia	316,036.95	11,377.33	164,339.22	491,753.50
Total	6,593,746.22	237,374.86	3,428,748.03	10,259,869.12

Source: own elaboration from National Health System Database. Institute of Sanitary Information. Ministry of Health, Social Policy and Equity [access April 2011]. Available from: <http://repositorio.msc.es/risns/>.

<sup>a</sup> Sumatory of the columns 8, 9, 14 y 15 from Table 4.

<sup>b</sup> Cost factor for primary care = 0.036 × specialized care cost.

<sup>c</sup> Cost factor for pharmacy = 0.522 × specialized care cost.

mesotheliomas reported in the Basque Cancer Registry, but only 2 had been evaluated in the Disabilities Medical Assessment Units, and none were recognized as occupational by the social security system,<sup>23</sup> while pleural mesothelioma is an occupational health event inherent to work, and it is highly unlikely to develop without an occupational exposure. García and Gadea (2007) estimate the work-related deaths caused by malignant neoplasias in the Basque Country in 2004 to be 460.<sup>24</sup> It has also been estimated that 25.4% of the Spanish working population in 2004 was exposed to carcinogens at their workplace.<sup>13</sup>

Reasons for under-reporting are legion and include the classification of occupational diseases as common diseases, the intrinsic scientific difficulty to catalogue a disease as occupational,<sup>25</sup> the ignorance of the health care professionals about occupational risk factors,<sup>26</sup> the disinterest in prevention by the health care system, and the resistance by employers to accept the classification of a disease as work-related.<sup>27</sup>

To estimate the burden of work-related cancer, we have used the published estimations of attributable fractions, specifically those of Leigh et al.,<sup>28</sup> Doll and Peto (1981),<sup>4</sup> Steenland et al.<sup>5</sup> for the U.S. population; the estimates for the Nordic countries,<sup>15</sup> and for the Finland;<sup>6</sup> and estimates for Europe<sup>16</sup> and by WHO (WHO, 2011).<sup>29</sup> As we said in the introduction, there are many Spanish epidemiological studies that evaluate the population in relation to occupational cancer, but it is difficult to obtain attributable fractions from these studies. This fact, coupled with the poor quality of the system of occupational cancer, justifies the use of other studies. The estimates vary in large part due to incomplete data, but are nonetheless useful, recognizing limitations. The utilization of attributable fractions in studies performed in other countries may be a limitation of our study, although they have been used in other economically advanced countries.<sup>30,31</sup> To be conservative, we use the median value of a wide range of work-attributable fractions. We also used the organ-specific estimates for cancer from

the most complete and rigorous analysis of this issue, the study from Finland.<sup>6</sup> Even so, not all cancers are included in the Finnish study, and it is likely that we underestimated the number of cases of work-attributable cancers.

As in other studies,<sup>8,13</sup> we have found that most work-related cancers occur in men, and that lung and urinary bladder cancers are the most frequent cancers. There is an awareness of the existence of many agents associated to these two cancers, including asbestos, silica, metals, radiation, combustion products, aromatic amines, and other exposures. Other important occupational cancers are mesothelioma, caused almost exclusively by exposure to asbestos, sinonasal cancer, caused by exposure to wood dust, metals and formaldehyde, and leukemia and lymphoma, caused by solvents, insecticides and other chemicals.

Regarding to medical costs generated by these cases of occupational cancer, we chose the prevalence approach to estimate the value of health care available to all patients at a time, regardless of when they were diagnosed,<sup>32–34</sup> because it permits analysis of reliable available data that require fewer forecasting assumptions than the incidence method. It must also be emphasized that the sources of information used were all Spanish. We use as a primary source the data from the analytical accounting of the NHS to calculate the health care cost of occupational cancers attended by the Basque Public Health System. We analyzed the direct health costs, which include the medical services used for diagnosis and treatment of the disease. We did not analyze the indirect costs, which correspond to the productivity losses caused by the premature mortality and morbidity associated with these neoplasias, as well as those from the corresponding sick leaves (both temporary and permanent). Non-health costs such as remunerated and non-remunerated caregivers have not been included either. Additionally, only those cancers with enough scientific evidence of their occupational origin have been considered. This is, therefore, an underestimate of the total direct health care costs of work-related

cancers. Even so, work-related cancers account for more than 10 million euros, or 8.54% of total Basque public expenditure on cancer care in 2008.

The limitations of our calculation of the costs of occupational cancer are related to the completeness of the primary data on the cost of specialized care and the use of secondary sources for the computation of the primary care and pharmaceutical costs. Regarding the primary sources the coverage of the information system for hospitalizations is 100%. While in the cases attended in ambulatory specialized attention (AAE), the coverage is 100% for the surgical activity, but only 46.7% in the medical activity of the day hospital, according to the Statistics of Sanitary Establishments.<sup>22</sup>

This limitation leads to an underestimation of the total expenditure for these diseases, which is amplified in calculating costs of primary care and pharmaceutical treatment, since calculation of the latter are based in part on the former. As described in the Methods section, we have combined primary and secondary sources due to the lack of primary sources for all the components of the direct costs.<sup>34</sup> It must be emphasized that the difficulties to access primary data are not exclusive for Spain. Other countries show a similar situation. Thus, a Commission of the French Senate responsible for a report similar to this study acknowledged the lack of a database that included the health costs according to diseases and which permitted a reliable calculation of the costs of work related diseases.<sup>35</sup> In our view, the use of the Catalan Health Budget for the same year minimizes these errors, since their reflect figures from one of the Spanish regions. However, when using data from Catalonia as a base for the Basque Country we are assuming that there are no substantial differences between the health care costs structure in both regions. Nevertheless, several authors have pointed to the variability in health care costs across the Spanish NHS, which could be due to differences in factor prices, productivity, efficiency or inappropriate use of services, among other factors.<sup>36,37</sup>

An advantage of our method was that estimates were derived from linear calculations, which we have attempted with transparency. As a result, any estimate in our tables can be adjusted for a different region in Spain or for a different attributable fraction.

Carcinogens at the workplace play an important role on the identification and prevention of all the carcinogens,<sup>2</sup> as they were the first identified and represent a high percentage of the total. All the cancers they cause are preventable. It is important to identify and prevent the carcinogens at workplaces, because the advantages of identification and adequate proceeding benefit the society as a whole, as the general population is also exposed to these substances (for instance, benzene, asbestos, diesel motors exhausts, etc.).

Most diseases, including cancers, are not recognized as occupational diseases even if they result from occupational exposures. Evaluating the under-recognition of occupational diseases remains complex, since the only data available are communicated by social security funds that only take into account the very few cases that effectively led to indemnification. Cancer is a paradigmatic case of this, as can be derived from its minimal reporting as occupational disease, as shown in this paper. This highly impedes the prevention of occupational cancer, given that identification is one of the main issues for its adequate management.

Moreover, the treatment of occupational cancers leads to substantial health care costs which are currently shifted from the social security system to the tax-financed public health system. An important consequence of this transfer is that the companies, which are responsible for conditions that led to the cancers, do not have to pay associated costs and, further, have no incentive to take preventive actions to reduce risk of cancer. The public health system is burdened by this transfer of costs.

### What is known on the topic?

The magnitude of the occupational health burden is very large and, therefore, involves a high cost to workers, employers and society as a whole. However, there is a serious problem in Spain with the recognition of occupational cancers. Although the Spanish list of occupational diseases includes many agents that are associated with certain types of cancer, the number of those recognized as professionals is virtually nonexistent. In the past few years the economics of occupational health area has emerged as a key area of research.

### What does this study add to the literature?

This is the first study in Spain that estimates the burden of cancer attributable to work in the Basque Country in 2008, as well as the health care costs derived from its treatment in the Basque Public Health System-Osakidetza. The sources of information used were all Spanish. Economic evaluation is clearly relevant for future preventive and health promotion programs. This paper will provide information to demonstrate to decision makers in companies and government the necessity for workplaces interventions and adequate provisions of occupational health services. Furthermore, it will show how a proportion of medical costs are being shifted from social security to the tax-financed public health system.

### Authors' contributions

All the authors contributed equally to the conception and design of the study, analysis and interpretation of the data, and drafting and critical review of the manuscript. All the authors have approved the final version for publication.

### Funding

Basque Institute of Occupational Safety and Health at Work-OSALAN, Bilbao, Spain.

### Conflicts of interest

None.

### References

- García Gómez M, Castañeda López R, Urbaneja Arrúe F, et al. Occupational diseases compensated in the Basque Country (Spain) from 1990 to 2008. *Am J Ind Med.* 2012, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajim.22158>
- Boffetta P, Saracci R, Kogevinas M, et al. Occupational carcinogens. In: Stellman JM, editor. *ILO Encyclopaedia of Occupational Health and Safety*. 2nd ed. Geneva: ILO; 1998. p. 4–18.
- Demers PA, Kogevinas M, Boffetta P, et al. Wood dust and sino-nasal cancer: pooled reanalysis of twelve case-control studies. *Am J Ind Med.* 1995;28:151–66.
- Doll R, Peto R. The causes of cancer: quantitative estimates of avoidable risks of cancer in the United States today. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 1981;66:1191–308.
- Steenland K, Burnett C, Lulich N, et al. Dying for work: the magnitude of US mortality from selected causes of death associated with occupation. *Am J Ind Med.* 2003;43:461–82.
- Nurminen M, Karjalainen A. Epidemiologic estimate of the proportion of fatalities related to occupational fraction in Finland. *Scand J Work Environ Health.* 2001;27:161–213.
- González CA, Agudo A. Occupational cancer in Spain. *Environ Health Perspect.* 1999;107:273–7.
- Imbernon E. Estimation of certain cancers professionnels. *Institut Veille Sanitaire.* 2003. Abril.
- Ojajarvi A, Partanen T, Ahlbom A, et al. Risk of pancreatic cancer in workers exposed to chlorinated hydrocarbon solvents and related compounds: a meta-analysis. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2001;153:841–50.

10. Peto J, Hodgson JT, Matthews FE, et al. Continuing increase in mesothelioma mortality in Britain. *Lancet*. 1995;345:535–9.
11. Schulte PA. Characterizing the burden of occupational injury and disease. *JOEM*. 2005;47:607–22.
12. Vineis P, Simonato L. Proportion of lung and bladder cancers in males resulting from occupation: a systematic approach. *Arch Environ Health*. 1991;46:6–15.
13. Kogevinas M, Rodríguez M, Tardón A, et al. Cáncer laboral en España. Madrid: Instituto Sindical de Trabajo, Ambiente y Salud (ISTAS); 2005.
14. García Gómez M, Urbanos Garrido R, Castañeda López R, et al. Costes sanitarios directos de las neoplasias de pulmón y vejiga de origen laboral en España en 2008. *Rev Esp Salud Pública*. 2012;86:127–38.
15. Dreyer L, Andersen A, Pukkala E. Occupation. In: Olsen JH, Andersen A, Dreyer L, et al., editors. *Avoidable cancers in the Nordic countries*. APMIS. 1997;105:68–79.
16. Kogevinas M, Kauppinen T, Boffetta P, et al. Estimation of the burden of occupational cancer in Europe. Final report to the European Commission of a project funded by the programme "Europe Against Cancer" (Contract SOC 96-200742 05F02). Barcelona: IMIM; 1998.
17. Rushton L, Hutchings S, Brown T. The burden of cancer at work: estimation as the first step to prevention. *Occup Environ Med*. 2008;65:789–800.
18. Pastor M, Gisbert R. Evolució de la participació dels plans directors en la despesa i en el pressupost sanitari del CatSalut. Any 2008 (Volum III). Barcelona: Generalitat de Catalunya; 2010. p. 9–39.
19. Ministerio de Sanidad, Servicios Sociales e Igualdad. Instituto de Información Sanitaria. Consulta interactiva del SNS [downloaded 2 September 2011]. Available from: <http://pestadistico.msc.es/PEMSC25/>
20. Ministerio de Sanidad, Servicios Sociales e Igualdad. Instituto de Información Sanitaria. Repositorio de información del SNS [downloaded 10 September 2011]. Available from: <http://repositorio.msc.es/risns/>
21. Bestard JJ, Sevilla F, Corella MI, et al. La unidad ponderada asistencial (UPA): nueva herramienta para la presupuestación hospitalaria. *Gaceta Sanitaria*. 1993;39:263–73.
22. Ministerio de Sanidad, Política Social e Igualdad. Instituto de Información Sanitaria. Estadística de establecimientos sanitarios con régimen de internado. Evolución 2000-2008 [downloaded 10 September 2011]. Available from: <http://www.mspsi.gob.es/estadEstudios/estadisticas/estHospilInternado/inforAnual/homeESCRI.htm>
23. Fernández Ajuria A, Esnaola S, García Gómez M, et al. Los sucesos centinela ocupacionales. Un método para la mejora de la vigilancia epidemiológica laboral en la CAPV. Vitoria: Gobierno Vasco, Departamento de Sanidad y Consumo; 1990.
24. García AM, Gadea R, López V. Impacto de las enfermedades laborales en España. Instituto Sindical de Trabajo, Ambiente y Salud; 2007. 206 p [cited 15 July 2011]. Available from: <http://www.istas.ccoo.es>
25. Millar JD. Surveillance in occupational health and safety. *Am J Public Health*. 1989;79:5.
26. Rosenstock L. Occupational medicine: too long neglected. *Ann Intern Med*. 1981;95:774–6.
27. Comisión Nacional de Seguridad y Salud en el Trabajo. *Enfermedades profesionales*. Madrid: Comisión Nacional de Seguridad y Salud en el Trabajo; 1999.
28. Leigh JP, Markowitz S, Fahs J, et al. Occupational injury and illness in the United States: estimates of morbidity and mortality. *Arch Intern Med*. 1997;157:1557–68.
29. World Health Organization. International conference on environmental and occupational determinants of cancer: interventions for primary prevention. An overview of the evidence. World Health Organization. Asturias (Avilés, Gijón), Spain, 17–18 March 2011 [cited 8 December 2012]. Available from: [http://www.who.int/phe/news/events/international\\_conference/en/](http://www.who.int/phe/news/events/international_conference/en/)
30. Driscoll T, Takala J, Steenland K, et al. Review of estimates of the global burden of injury and illness due to occupational exposures. *Am J Ind Med*. 2005;48:491–502.
31. Nelson DI, Concha-Barrientos M, Driscoll T, et al. The global burden of selected occupational diseases and injury risks: methodology and summary. *Am J Ind Med*. 2005;48:400–18.
32. Gisbert R, Brosa M, Figueras M, et al. El coste de la enfermedad en España: el coste de las enfermedades cardiovasculares. Barcelona: Merck & Co, Soikos, S.L; 1997.
33. Lobo F, Oliva J, López Bastida J, et al. Costes no sanitarios ocasionados por las enfermedades isquémicas del corazón en España. *Cuadernos económicos del ICE*. 2004;67:263–98.
34. Oliva J. Pérdidas laborales ocasionadas por las enfermedades y problemas de salud en España en el año 2005. Madrid: Papeles de Trabajo del Instituto de Estudios Fiscales; 2010, 5/10.
35. Rapport de la Commission instituée par l'article L.176-2 du code de la Sécurité Sociale (2005), Rapport de la Commission. Paris, Francia. Informe Diricq [cited 5 April 2011]. Available from: <http://www.securite-sociale.fr/communications/rapports/2006/diricq/diricq.pdf>
36. Peiró S, García-Petit J, Bernal-Delgado E, et al. El gasto hospitalario poblacional: variaciones geográficas y factores determinantes. *Presupuesto y Gasto Público*. 2007;49:193–209.
37. González B, Barber P. Desigualdades territoriales en el Sistema Nacional de Salud (SNS) en España. Madrid: Fundación Alternativas; 2006. Documento de trabajo 90/2006 [cited 11 December 2012]. Available from: <http://www.falternativas.org/laboratorio/documentos/documentos-de-trabajo/desigualdades-territoriales-en-el-sistema-nacional-de-salud-sns-de-espana>